

Vapor Rub Better Than Petrolatum & No Treatment for Cold Symptoms

Source: Paul IM, Beiler JS, King TS, et al. Vapor rub, petrolatum, and no treatment for children with nocturnal cough and cold symptoms. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126(6):1092-1099; doi:10.1542/peds.2010-1601.

Researchers from Pennsylvania State College of Medicine conducted a randomized controlled trial to determine if a single application of either a vapor rub (VR) or petrolatum is superior to no treatment for nocturnal cough, congestion, and sleep difficulty in children 2 to 11 years old with upper respiratory infection

PICO

Question: Among children aged 2 to 11 years, is a single application of a vapor rub or petrolatum superior to no treatment for nocturnal cough, congestion, and sleep difficulty caused by upper respiratory infection?

Question type: Intervention

Study design: Prospective, randomized, partially double-blinded

(URI). Eligible patients were randomly assigned to one of the three treatment groups. Those randomized to VR or petrolatum had the ointment applied 30 minutes prior to bedtime. In an attempt to mask the distinctive odor of VR and preserve blinding, parents in the petrolatum and VR groups applied VR to their own upper lips prior to rubbing the treatment ointment on their child and the children and other family members were directed not to tell the parents if they detected an odor. For the study, parents rated the severity of the following symptoms in their child for the night prior to enrollment and post-intervention using 7-point Likert scales: frequency and severity of cough, rhinorrhea, and nasal congestion, as well as the impact of cough and cold symptoms on both child and parent sleep. The main study outcomes were changes in ratings for each symptom. Parents also assessed adverse effects from the study medications.

Of 144 children enrolled, 138 completed the single-night study. The mean age of these children was 5.8 years; they had been coughing an average of 4.3 days and congested an average of 4.2 days before enrollment. A total of 44 children were randomized to the VR group, and 47 each were in the petrolatum and no treatment groups.

For all measured outcomes, there was a significant improvement in Likert scale scores in each of the treatment groups. Compared to those in the no treatment group, children randomized to VR had significantly more improvement in cough severity, cough frequency, nasal congestion, child's sleep, and parent's sleep. Patients in the VR treatment group also had significantly greater improvement than those randomized to petrolatum for the outcomes of child's sleep and parents' sleep. There was no significant difference in improvement for any outcome between those in the petrolatum and no treatment groups, and no differences between any treatment groups for improvement in rhinorrhea. Overall, 46% of the participants in the VR group experienced at least one mild irritant adverse effect; parents of 28% of children in the VR group reported a burning sensation of the skin, and 16% and 14% reported a burning sensation of the eyes and nose, respectively. When surveyed the morning after the study, 86% of the VR-treating parents and 89% of the petrolatum-treating parents correctly guessed their child's study group.

The authors conclude that despite mild irritant adverse effects, VR provided symptomatic relief for children with URIs and allowed them and their parents to have a more restful night.

Commentary by

Tony Delgado, MD, FAAP, Commander, Medical Corps, US Navy, Pediatrics, Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA

Dr Delgado has disclosed no financial relationship relevant to this commentary. This commentary does not contain a discussion of an unapproved/investigative use of a commercial product/device.

The current study has limitations as noted by the authors. All three groups had improved symptom scores during this one-night study, and it is unclear how much of the benefit obtained was due to the natural history of URI, placebo effect, or the interventions themselves. The blinding strategy was creative, but the clear majority of patients were able to accurately guess their study arm, reducing the benefits of the blinding process. Also of note, the study was financially supported by a grant from the manufacturer of Vicks VapoRub. Concerns about camphor toxicity (eg, seizures) led the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to limit the camphor content of common cold preparations to 11%.¹ Vicks VapoRub (the VR product used for the study) contains 4.8% camphor. While some dermal absorption of camphor occurs, most toxicity occurs via ingestion, and a child younger than age 6 years would need to ingest an estimated 20 ml of VR to achieve toxic effects.¹ If parents are accepting of the minor irritant effects of the VR, then application of VR to their children's chests may provide symptomatic relief and afford both parents and children more restful sleep.

Parents and pediatricians eagerly await evidence for effective and safe treatments for the common cold in children. The concern over potential harmful side effects coupled with limited evidence for efficacy resulted in the voluntary recall of oral over-the-counter (OTC) cough and cold medications for young children in October 2007,² followed by the January 2008 FDA recommendation to avoid use of these products in children younger than 2 years.³ *AAP Grand Rounds* has previously reviewed other alternatives to OTC cough and cold remedies, such as zinc lozenges (see *AAP Grand Rounds*, June 2008;19:64⁴), honey (see *AAP Grand Rounds*, March 2008;19:28⁵), and echinacea (see *AAP Grand Rounds*, February 2004;11:17⁶).

References

1. Love JN, et al. *J Emerg Med*. 2004;27:49-54
2. Bond A. *AAP News*. 2007;28:10
3. Gorman L. *AAP News*. 2008;29:3
4. Prasad AD, et al. *J Infect Dis*. 2008;197:795-802
5. Paul IM, et al. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2007;161:1140-1145
6. Taylor JA, et al. *JAMA*. 2003;290:2824-2830

Keywords: cough, camphor, upper respiratory infection

The views expressed in this article are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense or the United States Government.

I am a military service member. This work was prepared as part of my official duties. Title 17 U.S.C. 105 provides that 'Copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the United States Government.' Title 17 U.S.C. 101 defines a United States Government work as a work prepared by a military service member or employee of the United States Government as part of that person's official duties.

Vapor Rub Better Than Petrolatum & No Treatment for Cold Symptoms

AAP Grand Rounds 2011;25;28

DOI: 10.1542/gr.25-3-28

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://aapgrandrounds.aappublications.org/content/25/3/28
References	This article cites 7 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at: http://aapgrandrounds.aappublications.org/content/25/3/28.full#ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Pharmacology http://classic.aapgrandrounds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/pharmacology_sub Therapeutics http://classic.aapgrandrounds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/therapeutics_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: https://shop.aap.org/licensing-permissions/
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://classic.aapgrandrounds.aappublications.org/content/reprints



Vapor Rub Better Than Petrolatum & No Treatment for Cold Symptoms

AAP Grand Rounds 2011;25;28

DOI: 10.1542/gr.25-3-28

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://aapgrandrounds.aapublications.org/content/25/3/28>

AAP Grand Rounds is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1999. AAP Grand Rounds is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2011 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1099-6605.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

